

Governance Review

Serra Verde 400 kV Interconnector

ASSESSMENT PREPARED BY	Altus Ecologia
REGULATORY FRAMEWORK	eu_eia
DOCUMENT SET REVIEWED	Clean
OBLIGATIONS IN SCOPE	7
REVIEW DATE	06 August 2026
REVIEWER	SLR Consulting (independent)

SYNTHETIC TEST DATA

Fictional geography. Demonstrates the review method; not a real assessment.

Executive summary

SLR Consulting reviewed the Serra Verde 400 kV Interconnector assessment against eu_eia. The review examined 7 obligations and raised **1 issue** (0 critical, 1 major), and identified **4 obligations with no supporting evidence**. The issues below should be resolved before the assessment is finalised.

Obligations reviewed	7	Critical issues	0
Issues raised	1	Coverage gaps	4

Issues identified

Severity	Issue and evidence	Where	Recommended action
MAJOR (all versions)	A promise with no funding or owner. Commitments T-02 are named but carry no budget, indicator or responsible party in the register.	commitments.csv	Assign a budget, an indicator and an owner to every mitigation commitment.

Issues marked (*all versions*) are structural conditions present in every version of the source data. SLR reports them for completeness rather than omitting them.

Obligation coverage

The coverage register below lists every obligation in scope. 4 obligation(s) currently have no supporting evidence — a lender's independent reviewer will ask about these first. Gaps and issues are listed at the top.

Status	Obligation	Responsible discipline	Requirement
No evidence	ART5-1	route and land	Provide a description of the project, the likely significant effects, the features to avoid, prevent or reduce them, and a description of reasonable alternatives studied.
No evidence	ART5-3	legal and regulatory	Ensure the report is prepared by competent experts and is subject to a completeness and quality check by the competent authority.
No evidence	ART6-2	stakeholder engagement	Inform and consult the public early and effectively, with a reasonable timeframe, and take the outcome into account in the decision.
No evidence	TX-COLLISION	avifauna and biodiversity	Assess avian collision and electrocution risk along the full route with species-specific flight height and sensitivity data, and specify marking.
ISSUE	ART8A	legal and regulatory	Attach monitoring measures to the development consent, proportionate to the nature and significance of the effects.
Checked	ART3-1	avifauna and biodiversity	Identify and assess direct and indirect significant effects on population and human health, biodiversity, land, soil, water, air, climate, material assets, cultural heritage and landscape, and the int
Checked	ART7	legal and regulatory	Where a project is likely to have significant effects on the environment of another Member State, undertake transboundary consultation.

Method and validation

The review applies eleven governance checks — for contradictions between chapters, survey-coverage gaps, broken commitment chains, mitigation-hierarchy skips, scope omissions, unclosed grievances, unit/datum errors, headline-figure mismatches, severity drift, out-of-date baselines and cumulative-scope omissions — each mapped to the obligation it supports.

SYNTHETIC TEST DATA — fictional geography. Prepared to demonstrate the governance review method. Never to be merged into, or mistaken for, a real assessment.