

Governance Review

Kanjeza Gorge Hydropower Project

ASSESSMENT PREPARED BY	Meridian Environmental Partners
REGULATORY FRAMEWORK	ifc_ps_ep4
DOCUMENT SET REVIEWED	Clean
OBLIGATIONS IN SCOPE	15
REVIEW DATE	06 August 2026
REVIEWER	SLR Consulting (independent)

SYNTHETIC TEST DATA

Fictional geography. Demonstrates the review method; not a real assessment.

Executive summary

SLR Consulting reviewed the Kanjeza Gorge Hydropower Project assessment against ifc_ps_ep4. The review examined 15 obligations and raised **0 issues** (0 critical, 0 major), and identified **5 obligations with no supporting evidence**. No governance issues were identified in this document set.

Obligations reviewed	15	Critical issues	0
Issues raised	0	Coverage gaps	5

Issues identified

No issues were raised in this document set.

Obligation coverage

The coverage register below lists every obligation in scope. 5 obligation(s) currently have no supporting evidence — a lender's independent reviewer will ask about these first. Gaps and issues are listed at the top.

Status	Obligation	Responsible discipline	Requirement
No evidence	HYDRO-SED	hydrology and sediment	Assess sediment trapping and downstream geomorphic response over the operating life, including delta and floodplain consequences.
No evidence	EP4-P5	stakeholder engagement	Conduct Informed Consultation and Participation for Category A projects, documented and proportionate to risk.
No evidence	PS1-14	terrestrial biodiversity	Apply the mitigation hierarchy: anticipate and avoid, then minimise, then compensate or offset residual impacts.
No evidence	PS7-12	resettlement and livelihoods	Where Indigenous Peoples are affected, obtain Free, Prior and Informed Consent for relocation, critical cultural heritage or land use impacts.
No evidence	PS8-16	cultural heritage	Identify cultural heritage including graves and sacred sites, and apply chance-find procedures and consultation on removal or relocation.
Checked	EP4-P10	legal and regulatory	Publicly disclose the assessment documentation for a minimum of 30 days before financial close, accurately reflecting the underlying findings.
Checked	EP4-P2	climate and ghg	For Category A projects, assess climate change risk including a TCFD-aligned physical and transition risk assessment, an alternatives analysis for less GHG-intensive options, and reporting of scope 1
Checked	PS1-07	aquatic ecology and fisheries	Establish a current environmental and social baseline of sufficient detail to characterise risks and impacts, informed by recent conditions.
Checked	PS1-08	hydrology and sediment	Assess cumulative impacts of the project together with other existing, planned or reasonably defined developments on the same receptors.

Status	Obligation	Responsible discipline	Requirement
Checked	PS1-24	legal and regulatory	Establish an ESMP in which every mitigation measure has an action, a responsible party, a budget, a timeframe and a monitoring indicator.
Checked	PS1-35	stakeholder engagement	Operate a grievance mechanism and demonstrate that concerns raised are assessed and responded to.
Checked	PS4-05	dam safety and geotech	Address community health, safety and security risks, including structural safety of dams and reservoir-associated disease.
Checked	PS5-05	resettlement and livelihoods	Identify and compensate economic displacement, including loss of livelihood or access to resources where no physical relocation occurs, and restore livelihoods to at least pre-project levels.
Checked	PS6-16	terrestrial biodiversity	Determine whether critical habitat is present and, where it is, meet the no-net-loss and net-gain requirements with documented justification.
Checked	PS6-24	hydrology and sediment	Where the project affects a river system, sustain environmental flows adequate to maintain downstream ecosystem function and dependent uses.

Method and validation

The review applies eleven governance checks — for contradictions between chapters, survey-coverage gaps, broken commitment chains, mitigation-hierarchy skips, scope omissions, unclosed grievances, unit/datum errors, headline-figure mismatches, severity drift, out-of-date baselines and cumulative-scope omissions — each mapped to the obligation it supports.

SYNTHETIC TEST DATA — fictional geography. Prepared to demonstrate the governance review method. Never to be merged into, or mistaken for, a real assessment.